United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/755,657 | 01/05/2001 | Robin B. Hutchison | ECHG116755 | 6405 |
| 26389 7590 05/07/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RUDY, ANDREW J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , · · · | | | 3627 | |
| • | | | | <u></u> |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 05/07/2007 | PAPÉR | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/755,657 | HUTCHISON ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Andrew Joseph Rudy | 3627 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 January 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10,14-20,27-51 and 63-85 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,14-20,27-51 and 82-85 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 68-81 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) so of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/07. | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/755,657 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 24, 2007 has been entered. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 14-20, 27-51, 63-67 and 82-85 are still withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 68-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 68, lines 6-7, "("premium telephone communication link")" is not clear in juxtaposition with the four words immediately preceding it. It would appear the telephone link is either a premium telephone communication link or not. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,657

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 68-81, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et al., US 7,051,072, in view of Chen, US 5,978,775.

Stewart discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-26, a computer readable medium, e.g. claim 14, an electronic commerce system/method for placing an order for a product, comprising a premium telephone line, e.g. and a plurality of plug-ins, e.g. logic, business protocol, for facilitating such. Stewart does not disclose a premium telephone communication link, e.g. a "900" number.

Chen discloses a billing system for purchasing a product, e.g. information, using a premium telephone communication link.

To have provided a premium telephone communication link for Stewart would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Chen.

It is further noted that Applicant's claim language is replete with intended use claim language, e.g. claim 68, lines 2, 10 "for ordering a product," "is to be," is given little, if any, patentable weight in juxtaposition with positively recited claim language, e.g. a computer readable medium. Applicant's January 24, 2007 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

7. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

- 8. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received March 29, 2007 is noted. However, none of the art was provided. Thus, each reference has not been reviewed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627